

INTRODUCTION

Cohen (1968) introduced the competition graph of a digraph to study food web patterns and relationships between species. The vertices represent the various species and directed edges known as "arcs" represent the predator-prey relationship between the species. This has been studied extensively. Two extensions to the theory include Factor and Merz (2010), who introduced the (1,2)step competition graph of a digraph by first examining tournaments and Sano (2007), who introduced the weighted competition graph.

In this research, we find the (1,2)-step competition graphs and weighted competition graphs of actual food webs (acyclic digraphs) and specifically for the ecosystem of Lake Tanganyika. We also introduce the percentage-weighted competition digraph to help determine the effect of competition on survivability of each species in the ecosystem.

OBJECTIVES

Extend the definition of a weighted competition graph to a weighted (1,2)-step competition graph

Introduce the percentage-weighted competition graph

Partial Food web for Lake Tanganyika

A = P.microlepis B = P. straeleniC = C. moorii D = L. profundicold E = L. elongatus F = L. fasciatusG = L. lemairiiH = L. tanganicanu. I = P. polyodon J = T. moorii K= L. brichardi L = L. mondabu M = L. callipteru. N = X. sima P = ShrimpQ = Diptera R = anabaena S = filamentous algae T = Unicellular algae U = microfilamentous algae

Calculating the Effect of Competition on Survivability

Kehinde Faronbi

Mentor: Dr Kim Factor

- Create the competition graph of a food web D and compute edge weights The competition graph has edges between species that share a common prey. The weight is the number of common prey that A and B share.
- ***** Create the (1,2)-step competition graph of a food web, D, and find $C_{w(1,2)}(D)$, the weighted (1,2)-step competition graph of D
 - The (1,2)-step competition graph, $C_{1,2}(D)$ is a graph on the same vertex set as D, where xy is an edge if and only if there exists a vertex $z \neq x$, y such that either the shortest distance from x to z uses one arc & the shortest distance from y to z (without going through x) uses at most 2 arcs or vice versa.

*****The percentage-weighted competition digraph of D, P_w C(D) $P_w C (D)$ is an arc-weighted digraph derived from C(D) such that $P_w(u,v) = \left(\frac{w(uv)}{d^+(u)}\right) * 100$

and
$$P_w(v,u) = \left(\frac{w(uv)}{d^+(v)}\right) * 100$$

Where w(uv) is the weight of edge uv in C(D) and $d^+(u)$ is the number of species u preys upon.

edge in $C_{1,2}(D)$.



cliques (S_i, S_i) of consecutive shortest-path trophic levels, where $|V(S_i)| = n_i$, $|V(S_i)| = n_i$ and $n_i + n_i = n_i$. If w(e) >1 for the uppermost level in $C_w(D)$, then $C_{1,2}(D)$ is $K_n \cup \{k \text{ isolated vertices}\}$.







C_w(D)

on d by lemma 3

degree of x equals the degree of y in D.

Application

The total out-degree of a species in a $P_w C(D)$ digraph is proportional to the effect of competition on it survivability.



CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE WORK

A relationship exists between $C_w(D) \& C_{1,2}(D)$.

 \mathbf{P}_{W} C(D) shows the effect of a vertex removal on survival of other species in the food web. The percentage-weight of the in-degree of the removed vertex will determine the magnitude of the positive effect on each of the adjacent vertices.

FUTURE WORK:

 \bigstar Extension of P_w(D) to C_{1,2}(D) and the m-step competition graph.

Apply to other applications such as networking.

Characterize with the adjacency matrices of the digraphs and graphs.

REFERENCES

R.D. Dutton, R.C. Brigham, A Characterization of Competition Graphs, Discrete Appl.Math., 6 (1983), pp.315-317.

K.A.S Factor and S.K. Merz, *The* (1, 2) - *Step* Competition Graph of a Tournament, Discrete Appl.Math., 159 (2011), pp.100-103.

M. Hori, M. Gashagaza, M. Nshombo & H. Kawanabe, Diversity in Fish Community of Lake Tanganyika, Conservation Biology, 7 (1993), pp.657-666.

Y. Sano, Weighted Competition Graphs, RIMS,Kyoto University, Japan.(2007)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge the Helen Way Klingler College of Arts and Sciences, the MSCS Department & the NSF for funding. Special thanks to Mentor and Cocoordinator Dr. Kim Factor, Co-coordinator Dr. Brylow, Ph.D. student, Zachary Buelow, and my colleague, Andria Barraza for their help and effort in making this program a great experience.